20. 10-Alkyl-10-demethylcolchicines

by Pavlos Kouroupis¹), Jacqueline Kessler, and Hans-Jürgen Hansen*

Organisch-chemisches Institut der Universität, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

(15.XII.95)

It is shown that colchicine (4) can regiospecifically be transetherified at C(10) by heating in ROH in the presence of $(RO)_4M$ (M = Ti, Zr; cf. Scheme 2). $(PrO)_4Zr$ in PrOH gives better yields than $(PrO)_4Ti$ in PrOH, and also in the catalytic variant of the conversion is $(PrO)_4Zr$ more effective than $(PrO)_4Ti$.

In the preceding paper, we described the synthesis of 4-acetylcolchicine (1) [1]. Our original idea was to use this compound as starting material for the synthesis of 4-(*tert*-butyl)colchicine. However, neither the dimethylation procedure for ketones, developed by *Reetz et al.* [2], nor the methylenations described by *Tebbe et al.* [3], *Tour et al.* [4] as well as by *Lombardo* [5] were successful in the case of 1. It was also not possible to add alkylmagnesium or alkyllithium reagents to 1. The X-ray crystal structure of 1 [1] shows the 4-Ac substituent in an almost orthogonal conformation with respect to the plane of



¹) Part of the Ph.D. thesis of *P.K.*, University of Zurich, 1993.

the benzene ring, *i.e.*, the MeO group at C(3) as well as $CH_2(5)$ of ring B of 1 seem to block the two possible paths for a nucleophilic attack upon the 4-Ac moiety. To verify this idea, we reacted 1 with $(BuO)_3$ ZrMe as well as with $(i-PrO)_3$ TiMe for which *Seebach* and coworkers had shown that they methylate ketones at temperatures above 0° [6]. Moreover, we have found that $(BuO)_3$ ZrMe methylates 4-formylcolchicine in good yields [7]. However, the reaction of 1 took another path, *i.e.*, no methylation occurred at the 4-Ac group. Instead, we observed the formation of the corresponding 10-butyl- and 10-isopropyl-10-demethylcolchicines, 2 and 3, respectively, in decent yields (*Scheme 1*).

Nucleophilic exchange reactions at C(10) of colchicine (4) and of its derivatives have so far only been observed with soft nucleophiles such as MeS⁻, leading to thiocolchicines, or amines, which form colchicinamides (*cf.* [8] and lit. cit. therein). Hard bases such as HO⁻ or RO⁻ normally induce contraction of ring C leading to corresponding allocolchicines (*cf.* [8])²). Therefore, we assumed that the regiospecific transetherification reaction of 1 at C(10) must follow a similar mechanism as the transesterification of simple carboxylic esters, which can be catalyzed by Ti- and Zr-alkoxides (*cf.* [6b] [9] and lit. cit. therein).

Indeed, when we reacted colchicine (4) with an excess of $(RO)_4$ Ti in the corresponding alcohol ROH as solvent, we observed in all cases a regiospecific exchange reaction of the MeO-C(10) group of 4 (*Scheme 2*). The presence of any isocolchicine derivatives could



- ^{a)} 1 mmol of 4 was reacted with 5 mmol of $(RO)_4M$ (M = Ti, Zr) in 10 ml of ROH under reflux or at 100° for 17 h (M = Ti) and for 2.5 h (M = Zr).
- ^b) **5a**: R = Et (62%); **5b**: R = Pr (25% (M = Ti); 66% (M = Zr)); **5c**: R = i-Pr (85%); **5d**: R = Bu (29%); **5e**: R = 2-Ethylhexyl (14%).

be excluded on the basis of the ¹H-NMR spectra of the new colchicines **5** which showed for the substituents at the colchicine skeleton almost identical chemical shifts and coupling constants as compared to **4**. The reaction of **4** with 5 mol-equiv. of $(i-PrO)_4$ Ti could also be realized in MeCN (57%), PhMe (41%), and dioxane (27%) as solvents (yields in parentheses); however, the yields of **5c** were distinctly lower than in i-PrOH (*cf.* [9]). The conversion of **4** with (*t*-BuO)₄Ti in *t*-BuOH did not lead to the formation of 10-(*tert*butyl)-10-demethylcolchicine. On the other hand, when **4** was reacted in BuOH in the presence of (*t*-BuO)₄Ti, only **5d** could be isolated in a yield of 12% (*cf.* [9]).

²) For mechanistic investigations on nucleophilic reactions of tropolones, see [9] (cf. also [10]).

We assume that the exchange reactions at 4 take place *via* complexation at the oxo group at C(9) followed by vinylogous addition of RO⁻ onto C(10) as it is generally observed in nucleophilic displacement reactions of tropolones and their derivatives (*Scheme 3*; *cf.* [10] [11]).



This mechanistic view imposes that the exchange reaction of 4 should also be realizable with catalytic amounts of $(RO)_4M$ in ROH. This is indeed the case. The reaction of 4 with PrOH in the presence of 0.25 mol-equiv. of $(PrO)_4M$ led, after 8 h heating under reflux, to 5b in yields of *ca*. 10% (M = Ti) and 63% (M = Zr). The higher reactivity of Zr-alkoxides as compared to Ti-alkoxides as well as of α -branched M-alkoxides as compared to unbranched M-alkoxides has already been observed in other alkoxide-transfer reactions (*cf.* [9]).

We thank Prof. *M. Hesse* and his coworkers for mass spectra, Prof. *W. von Philipsborn* and his coworkers for NMR support, and *H. Frohofer* for elemental analyses. The financial support of this work by the *Swiss National Science Foundation* is gratefully acknowledged.

Experimental Part

General. See [7].

Standard Procedure for the Synthesis of the 10-Alkyl-10-demethylcolchicines 5. Colchicine (4; 0.400 g, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in ROH (10 ml), (RO)₄Ti or (RO)₄Zr (5 mmol) was added and the inixture heated under reflux or at a maximum temp. of 100° for 17 h, if not otherwise stated. The yellow reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cooled aq. 20% KF soln. (30 ml) and stirred for 15 min. The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 ml). The combined CH_2Cl_2 layers were washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln. and dried (MgSO₄). The raw product was chromatographed on silica gel (AcOEt/EtOH 7:3). The products 5 were obtained as pale-yellow foams, which tenaciously retained solvent molecules. Therefore, the foams were dried at 50°/high vacuum, until their weight did not change any more. The elemental analyses showed still too low C values after this procedure.

10-Ethyl-10-demethylcolchicine (**5a**). The reaction with (EtO)₄Ti (*Fluka*; *ca.* 97%) gave **5a** (0.255 g) in a yield of 62%. R_f (AcOEt/EtOH 7:3) 0.40 (R_f (4) 0.28). $[\alpha 1_{258}^{20}] = -131.8$ (c = 1.785, CHCl₃). UV (99% EtOH): λ_{max} 350 (4.18), 242 (4.44), 237 (4.44); λ_{min} 292 (3.65), 239 (4.43). IR (CHCl₃): 3442*m* (NH; free), 3281*m* (br., NH; interm. bound), 3001*s*, 2939*m*, 1673*s*, 1614*s*, 1576*s*, 1557*s*, 1488*s*, 1464*s*, 1444*m*, 1433*m*, 1401*m*, 1374*m*, 1350*s*, 1322*s*, 1286*s*, 1248*s*, 1172*m*, 1143*s*, 1097*s*. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.11 (d, J = 6.4, NH); 7.57 (s, H–C(8)); 7.29 (d, J = 10.8, H–C(12)); 6.85 (d, J = 10.9, H–C(11)); 6.51 (s, H–C(4)); 4.62 (2*t*, superimp., H–C(7)); 4.22 (q, J = 7.0, MeCH₂O); 3.92, 3.88, 3.63 (3*s*, 3 MeO); 2.5–1.9 (3*m*, CH₂(5,6)); 1.95 (s, MeCONH); 1.53 (t, J = 7.0, MeCH₂O). E1-MS: 413 (39, M^+), 398 (18, [M - Me]⁺), 385 (24, [$M - CO(C_2H_4)$]⁺⁺), 370 (31, [M - MeCO]⁺), 356 (23), 354 (25), 342 (11), 339 (17), 328 (11), 327 (32), 326 (100), 311 (30), 282 (30), 85 (77).

10-Demethyl-10-propylcolchicine (**5b**). The reaction with $(PrO)_4Ti$ (*Fluka*; *pract.*) gave **5b** (0.105 g) in a yield of 25%. The same reaction with $(PrO)_4Zr$ (*Fluka*; 70% in PrOH) for 2.5 h at reflux temp. gave **5b** (0.282 g) in a yield of 66%. R_f (AcOEt/EtOH 7:3) 0.41. $[\alpha]_{899}^{289} = -130.0$ (c = 1.128, CHCl₃). UV (99% EtOH): λ_{max} 350 (4.15), 242 (4.41), 237 (4.43); λ_{min} 296 (3.74), 239 (4.41). IR (CHCl₃): 3442m (NH; free), 3276m (br., NH; interm. bound), 3003s, 2939m, 2880m, 1671s, 1614s, 1585s, 1557s, 1488s, 1464s, 1433m, 1401m, 1368m, 1350s, 1322s, 1284s, 1248s, 1172s, 1143s, 1096s, 1049m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.60 (d, J = 6.6, NH); 7.59 (s, H–C(8)); 7.29 (d, J = 10.8, H–C(12)); 6.85 (d, J = 10.9, H–C(11)); 6.52 (s, H–C(4)); 4.64 (2t, superimp., H–C(7)); 4.09 (small m, EtCH₂O); 3.93, 3.89, 3.64 (3s, 3 MeO); 2.5–2.2 (m, 3 H–C(5,6)); 1.97 (s, MeCONH); 2.0–1.8 (m, H–C(5), MeCH₂CH₂O); 1.06 (t, J = 7.4, $MeCH_2CH_2O$). EI-MS: 427 (43, M^+), 399 (37, [M - CO]⁺), 398 (100, [M - Et]⁺), 384 (14, [M - MeCO]⁺), 368 (19), 357 (36), 356 (44), 340 (49), 283 (14), 282 (29).

10-Isopropyl-10-demethylcolchicine (**5c**). The reaction with (i-PrO)₄Ti (*Fluka*; dest. under Ar) gave **5c** (0.364 g) in a yield of 85%. $R_{\rm f}$ (AcOEt/EtOH 7:3) 0.41. [α]_{389}^{26} = -120.5 (c = 1.091, CHCl₃). UV (99% EtOH): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 352 (4.18), 242 (sh, 4.43); $\lambda_{\rm min}$ 296 (3.75). IR (CHCl₃): 3442*m* (NH; free), 3277*m* (br., NH; intermol. bound), 3000*s*, 2938*m*, 1673*s*, 1614*s*, 1583*s*, 1557*s*, 1488*s*, 1464*s*, 1432*m*, 1401*m*, 1376*m*, 1350*s*, 1322*s*, 1283*s*, 1248*s*, 1172*s*, 1143*s*, 1070*s*. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.63 (d, J = 6.7, NH); 7.50 (s, H–C(8)); 7.27 (d, J = 10.8, H–C(12)); 6.87 (d, J = 11.3, H–C(11)); 6.51 (s, H–C(4)); 4.73 (*sept.*, J = 6.1, Me₂CHO); 4.63 (2*t*, superimp., H–C(7)); 3.92, 3.90, 3.64 (3*s*, 3 MeO); 2.5–2.2 (*m*, 3 H–C(5,6)); 1.96 (s, MeCONH); 1.90 (*m*, H–C(5)); 1.46, 1.45 (2*s*, *Me*₂CHO). EI-MS: 427 (17, *M*⁺), 412 (8, [*M* – Me]⁺), 399 (20, [*M* – CO]⁺⁺), 385 (29, [*M* – CH₂CO]⁺⁺), 369 (24, [*M* – MeCONH]⁺), 357 (100), 342 (37), 314 (33), 298 (20), 283 (22), 282 (41). Anal. calc. for C₂₄H₂₉NO₆ (427.50): C 67.43, H 6.84, N 3.28; found: C 67.59, H 6.59, N 3.51.

The following yields of 5c were realized, when the transetherification reaction was performed in MeCN: 57%, PhMe: 41%, and dioxane: 27% (19 h heating under reflux).

10-Butyl-10-demethylcolchicine (5d). The reaction with (BuO)₄Ti (*Fluka*; pract.) gave 5d (0.128 g) in a yield of 29 %. $R_{\rm f}$ (AcOEt/EtOH 7:3) 0.37. [α]³⁵⁹₂₉ = -133.9 (c = 1.051, CHCl₃). UV (99% EtOH): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 352 (4.18), 242 (4.43), 237 (4.45); $\lambda_{\rm min}$ 297 (3.75), 239 (4.43). IR (CHCl₃): 3442*m* (NH; free), 3290*m* (br., NH; interm. bound), 3003*s*, 2963*m*, 2938*m*, 2875*m*, 1672*s*, 1614*s*, 1585*m*, 1557*s*, 1488*s*, 1464*s*, 1433*m*, 1401*m*, 1374*m*, 1350*s*, 1322*s*, 1285*s*, 1248*s*, 1172*s*, 1144*s*, 1096*s*, 1049*m*. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.46 (*s*, H–C(8)); 7.28 (*d*, *J* = 10.8, H–C(12)); 7.1 (br., NH); 6.84 (*d*, *J* = 10.9, H–C(11)); 6.53 (*s*, H–C(4)); 4.64 (2*t*, superimp., H–C(7)); 4.13 (small *m*, PrCH₂O); 3.93, 3.89, 3.64 (3*s*, 3 MeO); 2.5–2.2 (*m*, 3 H–C(5,6)); 1.98 (*s*, MeCONH); 2.0–1.7 (*m*, H–C(5), EtCH₂CH₂O); 1.52 (*sext*.-like, MeCH₂CH₂CH₂O); 0.99 (*t*, MeCH₂CH₂CH₂O). El-MS: 441 (29, M⁺), 413 (8, [M – CO]⁺), 399 (27, [M – CH₂CO]⁺), 398 (100, [M – Pr(MeCO)]⁺), 382 (38), 357 (30), 356 (44), 355 (10), 354 (40), 311 (16), 283 (15), 282 (26).

10-(2-Ethylhexyl)-10-demethylcolchicine (**5**e). The reaction with (2-ethylhexyloxy)₄Ti (*Fluka*; pract.) gave **5**e (0.070 g) in a yield of 14%. $R_{\rm f}$ (AcOEt/EtOH 7:3) 0.40. [α]₅₈₉²⁰ = -117.0 (c = 0.864, CHCl₃). UV (99% EtOH): $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 349 (4.20), 242 (4.45), 234 (4.45); $\lambda_{\rm min}$ 292 (3.72), 239 (4.44). IR (CHCl₃): 3442*m* (NH; free), 3294*m* (br., NH; interm. bound), 3004*s*, 2963*s*, 2874*m*, 2861*m*, 1676*s*, 1616*s*, 1585*s*, 1563*s*, 1488*s*, 1464*s*, 1432*m*, 1401*m*, 1375*m*, 1350*s*, 1322*s*, 1284*s*, 1172*s*, 1143*s*, 1096*s*, 1049*m*⁻¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.67 (2*d*, *J* = 7.1, NH); 7.54 (*s*, H-C(8)); 7.27 (*d*, *J* = 10.8, H-C(12)); 6.83 (*d*, *J* = 10.9, H-C(11)); 6.52 (*s*, H-C(4)); 4.66 (2*t*, superimp., H-C(7)); 3.96 (small *m*, BuCH(Et)CH₂O); 3.93, 3.89, 3.65 (3*s*, 3 MeO); 2.5-2.2 (*m*, 3 H-C(5,6)); 1.97 (*s*, MeCONH); 2.0-1.8 (*m*, H-C(5), BuCH(Et)CH₂O); 1.6-1.3 (*m*, 8 aliph. H); 1.0-0.85 (small *m*, 2 aliph. Me). CI-MS (NH₃): 498 (100, [*M* + 1]⁺), 398 (55), 385 (28), 357 (28).

Attempted Reaction of Colchicine (4) with $(t-BuO)_4Ti$. Colchicine (4; 0.248 g, 0.62 mmol) was heated under reflux in t-BuOH (10 ml) in the presence of $(t-BuO)_4Ti$ (Fluka, pract., 1.19 ml, 5 mmol) for 23 h. Colchicine was still present (TLC). Chromatographic workup yielded 0.016 g of product. ¹H-NMR showed that it consisted of a mixture of at least 3 colchicinoid compounds which were not further characterized.

However, when the typical run with 4 and $(t-BuO)_4$ Ti was performed in BuOH, 5d (0.054 g) was obtained in a yield of 12% (cf. [9]).

Experiments with Catalytic Amounts of $(PrO)_4M$ (M = Ti, Zr). Colchicine (0.100 g, 0.25 mmol) and (PrO)₄M (0.021 g (M = Ti) or 0.029 g (M = Zr), 0.0625 mmol) were dissolved in PrOH (5.0 ml) and heated under Ar and reflux for 1, 2, 4, and 8 h. With (PrO)₄Ti as catalyst, only after 8 h *ca.* 10% of **5b** could be detected by ¹H-NMR. The reaction mixtures with (PrO)₄Zr as catalyst showed the following composition according to ¹H-NMR: 1 h: < 10%, 2 h: 20%, 4 h: 34%, and 8 h: 63% of **5b**.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Kouroupis, A. Linden, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 203.
- [2] M. T. Reetz, J. Westermann, S.-H. Kyung, Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 1050.
- [3] F.N. Tebbe, G.W. Parshall, G.S. Reddy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3611; see also: S.H. Pine, Org. React. 1993, 43, 1.
- [4] J. M. Tour, P. V. Bedworth, R. Wu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3927.
- [5] L. Lombardo, Org. Synth. 1987, 65, 81.
- [6] a) B. Weidmann, C. D. Maycock, D. Seebach, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1981, 64, 1552; b) B. Weidmann, D. Seebach, *Angew. Chem.* 1983, 95, 12; *ibid. Int. Ed.* 1983, 22, 31; c) D. Seebach, B. Weidmann, L. Widler, in 'Modern Synthetic Methods 1983', Ed. R. Scheffold, Salle + Sauerländer, Aarau, 1983, Vol. 3, p. 217ff.
- [7] P. Kouroupis, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 1247.
- [8] H.-G. Capraro, A. Brossi, in 'The Alkaloids', Ed. A. Brossi, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1984, Vol. 23, p. 1.
- [9] D.C. Bradley, R.C. Mehrotra, D.P. Gaur, 'Metal Alkoxides', Academic Press Ltd., London, 1978, p. 33ff.
- [10] F. Pietra, Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 132.
- [11] M. G. Banwell, Aust. J. Chem. 1991, 44, 1.